Controlling Our Thoughts Is Harder Than It Seems

June 05, 2019



Whether we're trying to not to think about a donut or a difficult conversation, the things we *don't* want to think about are often the ones that keep floating to the top. Suppressing a thought is no easy feat and research shows that even when we think we've done it successfully, traces of that thought may still linger outside conscious awareness.

For the primary experiment, researchers at UNSW's Future Minds Lab tested 10 participants who completed more than 100 trials each.

On each trial, participants saw one of six written cues — "red apple," "red chili," "red tomato", "green broccoli," "green cucumber," or "green lime" — and either tried to imagine or avoid imagining that item over a 7-second period. If they were trying to avoid thinking about an item and it popped into their head anyway, they pressed a key to report the intrusion.

When the 7 seconds were up, the participants then saw a red-green image (actually two separate images, one shown to each eye) and they indicated which color appeared to be dominant.

The results showed that participants chose the color associated with the original cue words at rates above chance. In other words, when participants attempted to not think about a red apple, chili, or tomato, they were more likely to report red as the dominant color when they later saw the red-green image.

Andthis occurred even for participants who had *not*pushed the button, indicating they had succeeded — or thought theyhad succeeded — in thought suppression.

"Even though they had not thought about the objects, we could still measure the sensory trace of a thought," <u>lab director Joel Pearson explained in a UNSW news story</u>.

Inanother experiment, participants were told to use a distraction strategy toavoid thinking about the object — for example, thinking about a white cloud — andthe bias toward the suppressed color disappeared.

"Thisshows that thought-substitution is a better strategy than direct thoughtcontrol, while other data shows that mindfulness might aid in general thoughtcontrol at a sensory brain level," Pearson said.

Theresearch could also inform how we think about strategies to deal with the cognitive processes associated with addiction.

The findings suggest that "using brute force to not think aboutsomething — that cigarette or that drink — simply won't work because thethought is actually there in our brains," Pearson added. "This discoverychanges the way we think about thoughts of desire and suggests unconsciousthoughts can emerge and drive our decisions and behavior."

Pearson and his fellow researchers are now looking at the neural representations of these unconscious suppressed thoughts using functional MRI.

References

Kwok, E. L., Leys, G., Koenig-Robert, R., &Pearson, J. (2019). Measuring thought-control failure: Sensory mechanisms and individual differences. *Psychological Science*. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797619837204</u>