## The Paradox of Diversity

July 13, 2018



## Jennifer Richeson Details Research on the Impact of Shifting Demographics

For years, the U.S. Census has been projecting that racial minorities counted as one block will become the numerical majority by 2042. These reports have garnered a flood of coverage in the media, sparked commentary from pundits, and fueled the rhetoric of several recent political campaigns. But, as Richeson explained, the census team tasked with crunching the numbers on race in America didn't fully anticipate how communicating their findings on this demographic shift might have unintended consequences for racial attitudes and race relations in the U.S.

In her Bring the Family Address at the 30<sup>th</sup> APS Annual Convention, APS Past Board Member Jennifer Richeson (Yale University) spoke about her award-winning research that illuminated how these consequences manifested in the United States. Her work on this and related topics has earned her a number of honors, including a MacArthur Genius Grant and a Guggenheim fellowship.

In study after study, Richeson, largely in collaboration with Maureen Craig (New York University), has found that when projections of the majority-minority demographic shift are made salient, White

American participants consistently respond with more negative attitudes about and towards other racial groups. Richeson also noted that both she and a number of other researchers have also found that exposure to the majority-minority projections increase support for politically conservative political candidates and policies, including those that oppose diversity.

"Diversity is valuable, but it is also challenging; thus, it is constantly being challenged across politics and policy," Richeson explained.

This phenomenon extends well beyond the United States, Richeson emphasized. Research has shown similar reactions among White populations living in Canada, the United Kingdom, and Italy.

"This work suggests that diversity can be psychologically challenging and indeed, we as psychologists should have known this," Richeson explained. "It's an entirely old literature that has shown that increasing population sizes of minority groups can increase the tension between groups. This is old school."

Investigating people's reactions to a shift in demographics illuminates the broad psychological, social, and political implications of shifting demographics on our democracy, she said.

## It's All About Status Threat

At the heart of the paradox of diversity is this classic concept of group status threat: there is a sense that if an outgroup experiences an increase in status, "they" will usurp "our" position of influence in society. There is also a sense that cultural norms about what it means to be an American are at stake. An increase in diversity for some means the gradual erosion of Whiteness as an identity touchstone of what it means to be an American.

"There is a sense that American society will change, what it means to be an American will change, and Whites will lose," Richeson explained. "If we know nothing else, social psychology has documented that social identity threat engenders intergroup tension. And that's the mode we're in right now nationally."

This shift rightward occurs regardless of whether policies being considered were race neutral or decidedly race-related, and occurs across self-identified liberals, moderates, and conservatives alike. When people are made aware of the shifting racial demographics, status threat is triggered for many Americans. That leads them to endorse more politically conservative ideology, support conservative policies, and favor conservative candidates. Psychological and political scientists have observed this effect time and time again, Richeson added.

Causal evidence for this finding utilized a third group of participants in an "assuaged threat" condition; these participants were shown an article about the impending racial shift. But they were also given additional "assuaging" information: participants were told, "despite the demographic shift, racial groups' relative positions in society are likely to remain the same." White Americans in this assuaged threat condition were no more or less likely to support conservative ideology than those in the control condition

"This is not the psychology of White people, it is a psychology of groups and relative position," Richeson explained.

Indeed, White Americans aren't the only people exposed to and aware of these shifting national demographics. Hispanics are the racial group with the largest share of population growth. So Richeson was interested in seeing whether non-Hispanic minorities would reveal similar responses to these demographic changes as found for White Americans. When Black and Asian-American participants were exposed to information about shifting racial demographics that focused on Hispanic growth, indeed, they also showed increased support for conservative policy positions, a great tendency to personally identify as politically conservative, and even an increase in warmth towards Republicans.

## 'Nothing Natural About It'

Scientists also need to be cognizant and skeptical of the way race has been defined in this majorityminority shift.

"We're constructing race, and there's nothing natural about it," she said, noting that the projected pace of the majority-minority shift depends almost entirely on who government demographers and others choose to categorize as White. "If you checked-off White — and anything else — on your Census form, you are likely to be categorized as not White."

In Census projections where individuals who check-off White and anything else *are* counted as Whites, she added, the majority-minority shift moves back several decades.

"Nationally, we are at a cross-roads," Richeson said. "For many in our nation, diversity is not consistent with democracy. They see diversity as something that is at odds with democracy, or at least certainly something that threatens democracy. The very question of what it means to be American is at stake, or at least it is now being contested."

Richeson noted that one straightforward intervention against status threat is to remind people of the actual relative status of racial groups—the actual racial inequality — that persists across a number of domains in the United States. Most Americans are unaware of the true extent of their country's racial inequity, she pointed out.

"I'd also like to suggest — just a little nod to us — that psychological science underlies much of the racial and political dynamics of our current moment in this increasingly diverse nation, so we need to be at the table," Richeson concluded. "We need to be in communication with political scientists, economists, sociologists, demographers, and policy folks to really help us usher our nation in a direction where we're both a democracy and diverse as opposed to the other possibility."

To hear more about Richeson's career, see her 2016 interview for the APS video series Inside the Psychologist's Studio.