


Much More Online

February 26, 2020



[Maintaining Data Quality When You Can't See Participants](#)

With online experiments, researchers must accept a much higher degree of uncertainty about who their participants are and the conditions under which the experiment is being conducted. (*Observer*, March 2019)

[The Digital Lab](#)

A collection of articles about how web-based technology is revolutionizing the way psychological scientists collect and analyze data. (*Observer*, March 2015)

[What Makes a Samaritan Good? Depends on the Beneficiary](#)

New research from *Psychological Science* suggests that helping a total stranger is generally viewed as more moral and trustworthy than helping a family member. But this is only true if the helper did not have to choose between those options. (*News Releases*, February 2020)

[The Verdict Is In: Courtrooms Seldom Overrule Bad Science](#)

The varying quality of scientific testimony can make it difficult for judges and juries to distinguish between solid research and so-called junk science. Insights from a new study published in *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*. (*News Releases, February 2020*)

[The Science of Goals](#)

Psychology researchers explore a panoply of science that could help people adopt newer, healthier, or safer behaviors. (*Research Topic*)

[Universal Design for Inclusive Science](#)

A blind researcher highlights resources intended to enhance the accessibility of nondisability-related research for disabled participants. (*Observer, October 2019*)