Doing Good and Taking Chances: Winning Entrepreneurship Posters Explore Business Mindsets

May 04, 2023



Few psychological scientists pursue entrepreneurship over the course of their careers, but virtually all can identify with some of its central tenets, including the need for financial sustainability and the tolerance to take risks. These two ideas come together in the research of Chen Ji and James Wages, winners of the 2023 Psychological Science and Entrepreneurship Poster Award. Ji, of Indiana University, and Wages, of the University of Central Arkansas, will each receive a \$1,500 award, along with free registration to the 2023 APS Annual Convention May 25–28 in Washington, D.C.

Supported by the <u>Ewing Marion Kauffman Foundation</u>, the Psychological Science and Entrepreneurship Poster Award is intended to stimulate research in entrepreneurship, defined as the capacity and willingness to develop, organize, and manage a business venture, along with its risks, in the pursuit of opportunity and innovation. The award is open to APS members who are either current undergraduate or graduate students or early-career scholars (having received their PhD in 2015 or more recently). The judges, previous entrepreneurship poster award winners <u>Nikki Blacksmith</u> of <u>Blackhawke Behavior Science</u>, <u>Dohyung (Jacob) Cha</u> of Seoul National University, and <u>Lining (Rachel) Sun</u> of National University of Singapore, selected one early-career winner and one student winner based on two award criteria:

- Relevance to the broad study of psychological science and entrepreneurship
- Scholarly contribution to existing knowledge, application, or practice

2022 Psychological Science and Entrepreneurship Poster Awards

APS asked Ji and Wages three questions about their work. Their responses follow.

Student winner

Chen Ji, Indiana University

Penalty or Reward? The Role of Hybrid Identities in Social Enterprises' Resource Acquisition

What drew you to this line of research?

My research focuses on social enterprises' financial sustainability and resilience management in the context of sectoral blurring. Social enterprises are organizations and ventures that integrate social mission with pursuit of financial sufficiency. An example is Greyston Bakery in New York City, whose mission is to provide meaningful job opportunities for disadvantaged people experiencing barriers to employment. As a relatively new solution whose missions are both social and financial, social enterprises are recognized by scholars as well as practitioners as a powerful mechanism to address social issues. Yet social enterprises are also under increasing pressure to scale up and be financially self-sustainable, and success stories are still exceptions. The puzzle is: How can social enterprises survive and thrive?



Chen Ji, Indiana University

I noticed that prior research has explored the strategies that social enterprises use to acquire external resources but has been silent about how external resource providers relate to these strategies. More importantly, the relationship between social enterprises' hybrid nature and their resource acquisition

outcomes has been less thoroughly unpacked. My co-author and I thus proposed two contrasting theories built upon the possible dynamic interactions between the heterogeneity of social enterprises and funders, and we empirically tested them using an international dataset. The findings indeed provide meaningful practical implications for social enterprises regarding their communication strategies with stakeholders.

What did the research reveal that you didn't already know?

We analyze two contrasting effects—the halo effect and the stain effect—and test whether social enterprises' hybridity generates benefits (halo effect) or costs (stain effect). Our findings reveal that the stain effect is salient in social enterprises' success attracting philanthropic funding, while the halo effect is salient in their success acquiring equity investment. This indicates that social enterprises' hybridity may generate different outcomes depending on the nature of the resource providers they approach. Therefore, we cannot fully understand hybridity without looking at matched and mismatched identities between resource seekers and resource providers.

What are your plans to continue your research in entrepreneurship?

Based on the preliminary findings of this study, more research questions intrigue me and motivate me to further explore. This study can only examine the correlation but not the explanations for the relationship between hybridity and resource acquisition outcomes. We speculate that it is because philanthropic donors may tend to penalize social enterprises for having financial as well as social motives. Equity investors, by comparison, seem to be more tolerant of and willing to reward this hybridity. Experimental studies are needed to understand why and how funders may have different attitudes.

Going a step further, institutional contexts can have various influences on decision-making and cognition shaping, so we will test our theories in different subsectors and institutional environments where social entrepreneurship plays critical roles to broaden our understanding of heterogeneity in this field. My future studies will explore how social enterprises behave differently in different cultural contexts and how this behavior impacts their ability to acquire resources by adding more data points regarding institutional contexts such as socioeconomic status, funding-related policy, and cultural psychological variables (e.g., individualism).

2023 APS Annual Convention

See Ji's and Wages's posters, along with hundreds of others covering various areas of study, during the Poster Sessions at APS 2023, May 25–28 in Washington, D.C.

In addition, APS 2023 will feature a panel discussion May 26 about entrepreneurship. The speakers will be psychological scientists Nikki Blacksmith (recipient of a 2021 entrepreneurship poster award), Constance Dierickx (featured in this *Observer* profile), and Kelly Shaver of Mindcette.

Early-Career Winner

James Wages, University of Central Arkansas

A Normative Lay Theory of Risk-Taking: Using Peripheral Features to Disambiguate Risk

What drew you to this line of research?

For a long time, I have been fascinated with why people seek and avoid risks. Part of this fascination stems from the ambiguity that surrounds the perception of risk and those who take risks. The same risk behavior can look courageous to some people but foolish to others. I was drawn to this line of research because I wanted to understand what factors help perceivers disambiguate risk-taking as a worthwhile endeavor or a reckless gamble.



James Wages, University of Central Arkansas

What did the research reveal that you didn't already know?

The research revealed that seemingly peripheral factors surrounding risk-taking can have a rather substantial influence on how people make sense of risk, even when the probabilities of the risk decision's success or failure are identical across conditions. For example, I found that people perceive the risk decisions of people who are generally competent as more courageous and less foolish than the risk decisions of people who are less competent, even when both types of people took the exact same risks. These findings suggest that perceivers use features such as the risk-taker's general competence to evaluate risk, regardless of whether that information is directly relevant.

What are your plans to continue your research in entrepreneurship?

I plan to dig deeper within this program of research. Specifically, I want to uncover the most important features that observers use to disambiguate risk and why those features are primary and functional. Understanding the structure and function of how people disambiguate risk-taking will be useful in promoting successful entrepreneurship and preventing reckless behavior.

See these award-winning posters and meet with hundreds of researchers at APS 2023, May 25–28 in Washington, D.C. Learn more about the Kauffman Foundation's support of APS.