Human memory for events is a reconstruction of the past rather than a veridical representation of events as they actually happened (Fivush, 1994; Multhaup, De Leonardis, & Johnson, 1999; Tessler & Nelson, 1994). One factor that influences the reporting of event memories is the extent to which a person is misled by suggested, postevent information. Suggestibility refers to the incorporation of incorrect postevent information into a memory report (Ceci, Huffman, Smith, & Loftus, 1994; Rudy & Goodman, 1991). Under many circumstances, young children are more suggestible than older children and adults. For example, although young children tend to perform as well as adults on free-recall questions, they are more suggestible than adults in the face of leading questions (Ceci & Bruck, 1993). Young children's increased suggestibility has implications for socialization, academic learning, and eyewitness testimony.

Factors that contribute to young children's increased suggestibility include lack of experience; cognitive, maturational, and linguistic limitations; differential social status; and a desire to please (see Ceci & Bruck, 1993, for a review). The present study investigated whether deficits in source monitoring, defined as making attributions about the origins of one's memory (Johnson, Hashtroudi, & Lindsay, 1993), might also have implications for young children's suggestibility.

Young children have consistent difficulties identifying the sources of their knowledge. For example, 3-year-olds have difficulty distinguishing whether they learned about an object through sight, touch, communication, or inference (Gopnik & Graf, 1988; O'Neill, Astington, & Flavell, 1992; O'Neill & Gopnik, 1991; Wimmer, Hogrebe, & Perner, 1988). However, children's performance on source-monitoring tasks improves greatly between the ages of 3 and 6 years. This development appears to be part of a general shift toward a more representational theory of the mind (Gopnik & Graf, 1988), and may be related to development of the frontal lobes of the brain (see Schacter, Kagan, & Leichtman, 1995).

Several researchers have proposed a link between suggestibility and difficulties with source monitoring (Ceci & Bruck, 1993; Leichtman & Ceci, 1995; Leichtman, Morse, Dixon, & Spiegel, 2000; Lindsay & Johnson, 1989; Poole & Lindsay, 2001; Roberts & Blades, 1998; Zaragoza & Lane, 1994). Source monitoring and decreased suggestibility are each thought to be related to an understanding of the representational processes of mind (Welch-Ross, Diecidue, & Miller, 1997). Source monitoring is also thought to encourage the use of stringent decision criteria, which may help to reduce suggestibility (Lindsay & Johnson, 1989). Older children and adults appear less suggestible in contexts that require them to monitor sources than in contexts that do not require this (Ackil & Zaragoza, 1995; Lindsay & Johnson, 1989; Multhaup et al., 1999). However, the relationship between source monitoring and suggestibility in young children is unclear.

The present study had two goals designed to clarify the nature of the relationship between source monitoring and suggestibility in preschool children. The first goal was to determine whether there are individual differences in source-monitoring ability that are associated with the ability to resist suggestion. Leichtman et al. (2000) found that preschool children's performance on an age-appropriate source-monitoring task (Gopnik & Graf's, 1988, drawer task) predicted their relative level of suggestibility concerning an unrelated event. The present study examined whether preschoolers' ability to recall the sources of information about an event can predict their resistance to suggestion about that same event.

The second goal of the present study was to determine whether presenting a source-monitoring task can improve young children's resistance to suggestion, and to examine whether any such resistance might go beyond any effects of monitoring memory details more generally. Such a finding would go beyond demonstrating a correlation between source monitoring and suggestibility and demonstrate that encouraging young children to monitor sources actually causes them to be less suggestible. In a study consistent with this possibility, Thierry, Spence, and Memon (2000) found that 3- and 4-year-olds who were oriented to the sources of their knowledge were more likely to say "I don't know" in response to subsequent leading questions than were children who were not first oriented to sources. However, there is little evidence that directing children to monitor sources improves their accuracy in response to subsequent leading questions.

We were interested in children's performance in the face of leading questions largely because young children are thought to have particular difficulty with such questions (see Ceci & Bruck, 1993). In the present study, we asked two questions: Do individual differences in source-monitoring performance concerning a specific event predict individual differences in resistance to suggestion concerning the same event? Does encouraging children to actively monitor sources improve their accuracy in responding to subsequent leading questions?
METHOD

Overview

Preschool children were presented with a story about a young boy feeding his dog. The story was presented in two modalities simultaneously: as a silent video and as a spoken narrative read by the experimenter. Following presentation of the story, the children were asked a series of questions. The answer to any given question could come from only one of the two possible sources. The questions were organized into four different tasks, of which each participant received three. Participants were randomly assigned to condition.

For all participants, the first two tasks included a suggestion task, designed to measure children's resistance to suggestion, and one of two monitoring tasks. One of the possible monitoring tasks, source-monitoring task A (SMA), measured the children's ability to identify the source of specific story details. The other monitoring task, the detail-monitoring task, measured the children's recall of story details.

The nature of the monitoring task, and the order in which the first two tasks were presented, was varied in a 2 (task type) × 2 (task order) between-subjects factorial design. The task-order manipulation was used to assess whether encouraging children to monitor sources would increase their resistance to subsequent leading questions. The task-type manipulation was included to determine whether any reduced suggestibility effects would be specific to source monitoring, or whether they would also be obtained with a detail-monitoring task that encourages elaborative processing of an event memory (see Pezdek & Roe, 1995, concerning the possibility that elaborative processing of an event memory reduces suggestibility).

All participants were given the same third task, source-monitoring task B (SMB), which was similar in form to SMA, but contained different questions. Figure 1 summarizes the design of this study.

Participants

The participants were 32 preschool children (13 boys, 19 girls; mean age = 4.25, range: 3.17–5.25) recruited from a university preschool.

Procedure

Children were shown a 1-min silent video vignette accompanied by narration by the experimenter. They were then given a series of three tasks of six questions each. Tasks were selected and ordered according to a 2 × 2 factorial design (see Overview). The next sections describe the tasks.

SMA and SMB

In both of these tasks, the children were asked to distinguish between two sources of knowledge about the story. Each question contained two parts: an initial general question (e.g., “What color is the farm house that the little boy lives in?”) followed by a question asking participants to identify the source of their knowledge (e.g., “Did I tell you what color it was, or did you see it on the tape?”). If a child did not respond, the question was repeated. Scoring of the source questions was independent of responses to the corresponding general questions. Items in SMA and SMB were counterbalanced across participants.

Detail-monitoring task

In this task, the children were asked to recall story details. These questions consisted of two parts: a general question (e.g., “Is the little boy the one who always feeds the dog?”) followed by a specific question about the same topic (e.g., “How many times a day does the dog get fed?”).

Suggestion task

This task assessed children’s ability to resist suggestion in the form of leading questions. Each of these questions consisted of a declarative phrase, followed by a question asking for agreement with what was said (e.g., “The little boy and his dog were standing in mud, weren’t they?”). The declarative phrase always contained erroneous information. Performance on this task was the dependent variable (scores ranged from 0 to 6, with 6 indicating accurate response to all six questions). The questions in the different monitoring tasks (source monitoring and detail monitoring) were balanced across participants.
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monitoring vs. detail monitoring) did not differentially relate to the content of the leading questions in the suggestion task.

RESULTS

Individual Differences

Does source-monitoring performance predict resistance to suggestion? Across all participants, performance on SMB was highly correlated with performance on the suggestion task, $r(30) = .82, p < .001$. This correlation was still significant when the participants who had completed SMA and those who had completed the detail-monitoring task were considered separately, $r(14) = .92, p < .01$, and $r(14) = .57, p < .05$, respectively. The correlation remained significant when age was partialled out, $r(30) = .80, p < .001$. Age was marginally correlated with performance on the suggestion task, $r(30) = .34, p < .10$, and with performance on SMB, $r(30) = .37, p < .05$. (There was no significant age difference across groups.) Finally, resistance to suggestion was not correlated with performance on the detail-monitoring task, $r(14) = .24, p > .05$. These results indicate that children’s ability to monitor sources is associated with resistance to suggestion. Further, this relationship does not appear to be a function of age.

Effect of Source Monitoring on Suggestibility

Might asking children about the sources of their knowledge reduce their suggestibility? To investigate this possibility, we compared scores on the suggestion task across all four treatment groups (see Table 1). A 2 (task order) × 2 (task type) between-subjects analysis of variance on these scores revealed significant main effects of task order, $F(1, 28) = 23.77, p < .001$, and task type, $F(1, 28) = 13.59, p < .001$, and a significant interaction between task order and task type, $F(1, 28) = 8.84, p < .01$.

To examine the effect of encouraging children to monitor sources, we compared performance on the suggestion task for children who received SMA first and those who received SMA second. Children who were encouraged to monitor sources first performed significantly better ($M = 5.50$) than children who were not ($M = 3.38$), $F(1, 14) = 36.83, p < .001$. This suggests that encouraging preschool children to monitor sources helps them resist suggestion. In addition, children who received SMA scored higher on SMB than children who received the detail-monitoring task ($M = 3.88$ vs. $2.56$), $F(1, 30) = 7.42, p < .01$ (see Table 1 for group means), suggesting that source monitoring can improve with practice (see Roberts, 2000, regarding other evidence of practice effects in source monitoring in children of this age).

Did children who were encouraged to monitor sources and children who were encouraged to monitor details perform equally well on the suggestion task? Children who received SMA first ($M = 5.50$) performed significantly better on the suggestion task than children who received the detail-monitoring task first ($M = 2.00$), $F(1, 14) = 24.50, p < .001$. This result supports the conclusion that monitoring sources may impart greater resistance to suggestion than monitoring memory details more generally (see Leichtman et al., 2000, for evidence that reinforcing memory details may reduce forced-choice errors following misinformation).

Does elaborating on the details of a memory reduce suggestibility? To examine this possibility, we compared performance on the suggestion task for children who received the detail-monitoring task first ($M = 2.00$) and those who received it second ($M = 1.00$). The scores of children who were encouraged to monitor details did not differ significantly from those of children who were not, $F(1, 14) = 1.56, p > .05$.

DISCUSSION

This study produced two main findings. First, the ability to monitor sources was highly correlated with the ability to resist suggestion in the form of leading questions. This result held even in an analysis controlling for age.

Second, presentation of a source-monitoring task reduced children’s susceptibility to subsequent leading questions. Preschool children were more able to defend their original event memories from intrusion by conflicting postevent information when they had recently been encouraged to think about the sources of their knowledge than when they had not been so encouraged. In contrast, children who were asked about details of their event memory prior to leading questioning showed no reduced suggestibility effect.

This latter result is in apparent conflict with the work of Leichtman et al. (2000), who found that both source and content reinforcement reduced 5- and 6-year-olds’ errors following the presentation of misinformation. Several methodological differences might help account for these discrepant results. For example, in the study by Leichtman et al., children heard misinformation presented by a stuffed animal, whereas in the present study misinformation was presented by an adult (see Lampinen & Smith, 1995, for evidence that young children may be especially vulnerable to suggestion by adults). Another difference is that Leichtman et al. measured suggestibility using forced-choice, nonleading questions, whereas the present study measured suggestibility using leading questions (see Ceci & Bruck, 1993, for evidence that young children have particular difficulty with leading questions).

Why might exposure to a source-monitoring task improve children’s resistance to suggestion? One possibility is that source monitoring reduces suggestibility because it places children’s memory into context. Contextual cues provide effective means of strengthening and reactivating a memory trace (see Smith, Glenberg, & Bjork, 1978). A related possibility is that source-monitoring tasks reduce suggestibility by solidifying the original memory trace, so that the discrepancy between it and the misleading information is easier to detect (see Garry, Loflus, & Brown, 1994). Source monitoring may also encourage the use of stricter decision criteria (Johnson et al., 1993). When individuals are asked to consider the origin of memories, they engage in decision-making processes that are effortful and deliberative (Lindsay & Johnson, 1989). Children who are asked to identify the origin of their memories may thus reflect more seriously upon how they know what they know.

Another reason source monitoring may reduce suggestibility is that it emphasizes the representational nature of mind (Welch-Ross et al., 1997). An important component of children’s developing theory of mind is the understanding that the mind represents and constructs reality, and that this representation does not always match reality (Flavell, 1988; Gopnik & Astington, 1988; Woolley & Bruell, 1996). When children are asked questions about sources, this might implicitly teach them that there can be multiple sources of knowledge about the same event.

1. This difference in magnitude could be because children in the SMA-first condition had bolstered scores on the suggestion task and SMB, placing them closer to ceiling.
event, and consequently lead them to recognize that “assertions generated by human minds can be differentiated from an external reality against which they can be compared” (Kuhn & Pearsall, 2000, p. 127).

The present study suggests that preschool children’s suggestibility is reduced when they are attuned to the epistemic origins of mental representation. These results also suggest that in applied settings, such as in preparation for courtroom testimony, encouraging children to focus on source information may increase the likelihood that they will provide valid reports.
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