


Five Myths About the Role of Culture in Psychological Research

December 30, 2016



A few months ago, I met with a new colleague of mine who does brilliant research on perception. Hearing about my interest in culture, she admitted that she never looked at culture or gender in her research because she studies basic perceptual processes that are not supposed to differ across human groups. I told her about the many exciting findings on perception in cross-cultural research, and that we found cultural differences in the very perceptual phenomenon she studies. She was stunned and intrigued, and we had a wonderful and exciting conversation. A few days later, I received an email from her: She was submitting an amendment to our institutional review board to collect information about participants' ethnicity and gender in her studies. Two months later, I heard from her again: She asked me if I'd be interested in collaborating on a project to examine the influence of culture on perception and memory. We subsequently submitted a grant application together.

My colleague is one of many researchers who are curious about culture and who face an increasingly diverse world around them, meaning they can expect to work with increasingly diverse participant pools. For those researchers, the important question is how to incorporate culture into their research so that they are not continuing to ignore the cultural backgrounds of their participants.

Twenty years of cultural research that my colleagues and I have done on the development of social cognition, including autobiographical memory, future thinking, the self, and emotion knowledge, illustrate how cultural psychological science can provide unique insights into psychological processes and further equip researchers with additional tools to understand human behavior.

There are five assumptions that often distract or discourage researchers from integrating cultural factors into their work, and I aim here to deconstruct them.

Assumption 1. Cultural Psychological Science Focuses Only on Finding Group Differences

This understanding of what cultural psychological science can do is far from being complete. In our research, my colleagues and I have learned how culturally prioritized self-goals guide autobiographical memory. Autonomous self-goals, prioritized in Western, particularly European American, cultures, motivate individuals to focus on and remember idiosyncratic details and subjective experiences that accentuate the individual. In contrast, relational self-goals like those prioritized in East Asian cultures motivate individuals to attend to and remember information about collective activities and significant others.

By experimentally manipulating self-goals of autonomy and relatedness, we are able to make European Americans recall socially oriented memories as East Asians usually do, and make East Asians recall self-focused memories as European Americans usually do. In a study I conducted with APS Fellow Michael A. Ross, University of Waterloo, Canada, we asked European American and Asian college students to describe themselves as either unique individuals (i.e., autonomous-self prime) or as members of social groups (i.e., relational-self prime). We then asked them to recall their earliest childhood memories. In both cultural groups, those whose autonomous self-goals were activated prior to the recall reported more self-focused memories, whereas those whose relational self-goals were made salient recalled more socially oriented memories.

As this line of work illustrates, cultural psychological science is about not just what differences exist between cultures, but, more importantly, why and how those differences exist.

Assumption 2. Cultural Psychology Disregards Group Similarities

For people with this assumption, a cross-cultural psychological study that finds no cultural differences is considered a failure. But group similarities often tell us that a psychological construct or process may be closely tied to biological constraints or shared cultural experiences.

Our research on the development of future thinking is an example. Children's ability to travel mentally in time to anticipate future happenings develops rapidly over the preschool years and beyond, although children still rely on general knowledge to think about the future. There are also cultural influences: European American family practices encourage children to dwell on and articulate details of their personal experiences and plans, which directly facilitates their ability to represent event-specific details. In contrast, Chinese parents, when discussing personal experiences with their young children, tend not to focus on individual details of the event but rather to emphasize general rules and expectations. In line

with the differing practices, we have observed that European American children often produce more specific details than do Chinese children when recalling past experiences. Given the intimate connection between remembering the past and imagining the future, this cultural difference should be paralleled in children's representations of future events.

My colleagues and I asked 7- to 10-year-old children and college students from European American and Chinese cultural backgrounds to imagine and describe future personal events that would occur at a particular time and place. We then coded the protocols using a standard scoring procedure that distinguishes between specific details (e.g., actions, people, and locations) and general references (e.g., facts and metacognition). We found that children of the two cultures relied similarly on general references relative to specific details in their event representations, yet they did so to a greater extent than did adults. However, European American children and adults generated more specific details than did Chinese children and adults in their representations of future events. These cultural similarities and differences together suggest that the development of future thinking reflects the interaction between cognitive neurological growth and sociocultural influences, a process contributing to both universality and cultural diversity.

Making informed hypotheses about cultural similarities will help researchers make decisions about research design, sample selection, and statistical strategies for effective testing for equivalence and subsequently help them obtain interpretable findings. Cultural similarities may suggest universality in the underlying biological cognitive mechanisms on the one hand, and shared human conditions and life circumstances on the other.

Assumption 3. Cultural Psychology Concerns Only Group-Level Analysis

Yes, research in cultural psychology often involves comparing groups or cultures, but it by no means downplays the importance of individual differences. On the contrary, examining individual differences is not only important but often necessary to uncover the factors that account for the observed cultural differences. Our research on emotion knowledge and the development of autobiographical memory can serve as an example.

One important component of emotional cognition concerns the semantic knowledge of emotionally salient events or situations, including happy events such as holidays and birthday parties and sad occurrences such as the loss of a loved one. This component is often referred to as emotion situation knowledge or emotion knowledge. Our research suggests that the great emphasis on raising "emotionally intelligent" children in European American culture directly facilitates children's developing emotion knowledge. Chinese culture, in contrast, places far greater emphasis on external behavior than on inner psychological states. Consequently, when asked to judge the emotional nature of story situations or to describe situations likely to provoke various emotions, European American preschoolers outperform their Chinese peers regardless of age, and they make more rapid progress in emotion knowledge over time.

Paralleling this cultural difference in emotion knowledge, our studies have consistently shown that when asked to recount autobiographical events (e.g., one thing the child did recently that was special and fun), European American preschoolers often recall more specific episodes (e.g., "getting a new toy") as well

as more specific details from those episodes. Chinese children, on the other hand, tend to report more general routine events (e.g., “playing with a toy every day”) and fewer event-specific details. Thus, there appears to be a connection between emotion knowledge and autobiographical memory at the group level.

In a longitudinal study, my colleagues and I confirmed that, at the group level, European American children showed overall greater understanding of emotion situations and also recalled more detailed autobiographical memories than did mainland Chinese and Chinese American children across the preschool years. More importantly, at the individual level, children who exhibited greater emotion knowledge early on recalled memories with more specific details both concurrently and longitudinally, regardless of culture. Furthermore, emotion knowledge functioned as a potent mediator that accounted for cultural differences in memory recall.

Thus, by following up on our initial group-level analysis, we were able to identify an individual-level mechanism responsible for early memory development. Had we neglected the parallel cultural differences in emotion knowledge and autobiographical memory in the first place, we might not have considered emotion knowledge to be a candidate mechanism for autobiographical memory and might not have made the subsequent investigation.

Situational factors also can influence the impact of culture within an individual. For instance, when Asian American college students thought of themselves as being American, they recalled more self-focused memories, whereas when they thought of themselves as being Asian, they recalled more socially oriented memories. Similarly, when bilingual Hong Kong Chinese children spoke in Chinese, they endorsed more strongly interdependent values, exhibited greater relational self-goals, and recalled more socially oriented memories than they did when speaking in English. These within-group and within-individual processes highlight psychological functioning as a joint product of the individual and the cultural agenda of the community.

Assumption 4. Cultural Psychology Is Irrelevant to Basic Psychological Processes

Many “basic” psychological processes and constructs for which culture is typically assumed to be irrelevant, such as neuronal functioning, sensation, visual illusions, face processing, and color perception, have been shown to be sensitive to cultural influences. Even simple taste preferences are subject to local community practices rather than being part of human genetic composition as commonly assumed. Whereas Indian medical students show the same pattern of preferences as Westerners do, favoring sweetness and finding concentrated sourness and bitterness to be unpleasant, Indian laborers from the Karnataka region have high preferences for sour and bitter tastes.

One of the most important findings in perceptual psychology, as relevant to autobiographical memory, is the phenomenon of event segmentation, an automatic perceptual process that separates “what is happening now” from “what just happened.” APS Fellow Jeffrey M. Zacks, cognitive psychologist Khena M. Swallow, and colleagues have conducted extensive research on this phenomenon. Data from their behavioral and neuroimaging studies have shown that when presented with a continuous stream of information, people spontaneously segment the information into discrete meaningful events. These event

segments subsequently form the units of encoding and determine what people remember. Event segmentation is therefore a naturally occurring human perceptual mechanism that makes memory for everyday events possible, and has been generally assumed (albeit implicitly) to be insusceptible to cultural influences.

Yet findings from cultural psychology have suggested otherwise. APS William James Fellow Richard E. Nisbett and colleagues have shown that Asians often engage in holistic perceptual processing, attending to relationships and similarities among diverse objects and events, whereas Westerners tend to engage in analytic perceptual processing, focusing on salient features of individual objects and events. These distinctive perceptual styles reflect cultural differences in the basic allocation of attention during stimulus processing. They are further supported by the characteristics (e.g., complexity, ambiguity) of the physical environments in respective cultures and are sustained by neural mechanisms. Conceivably, the holistic processing of information in Asians may lead them to view different objects and events as interrelated. As a result, they may perceive fewer discrete episodes in a continuous flux of information and thus segment the information into a smaller number of meaningful units. In comparison, European Americans, attending to salient properties of individual objects and events, may analytically segment the information into a greater number of units.

This is indeed what we found. In one study, Asian and European American college students were presented with a narrative text and were asked to segment the text into discrete events by indicating wherever, in their judgment, one meaningful event ended and another event began. As expected, Asians parsed the text into a smaller number of units than did European Americans. Furthermore, the cultural difference in event segmentation had direct consequences for memory, whereby at an immediate memory test following the reading, Asians recalled fewer event episodes from the text than did European Americans. These findings are significant because they suggest that event segmentation is not a mere product of neural reactions to the perceptual environment but is shaped by cultural experiences deeply embedded in the environment.

Assumption 5. Cultural Psychological Research Only Confirms the Generalizability of Theories

One of the major contributions of cultural psychological science is to allow researchers to test their theories and hypotheses outside of their usual pool of participants — namely, those from WEIRD (Western, Educated, Industrialized, Rich, Democratic) societies. Needless to say, a participant pool that represents 16% of the world's population and yet constitutes 96% of the samples in psychological research can hardly yield data and theories about human behavior without further verification. Thus, when developing and testing theories, researchers should consider including multiple cultural groups in their studies to examine the expected mechanism within each group and independent of culture. Yet there is more to it.

Developmental research has consistently shown that middle-class Western children with higher levels of emotion knowledge are more socially competent and exhibit lower levels of internalizing problems. For people from interdependently oriented societies, however, norms, roles, and obligations are often more important determinants of behavior than one's psychological states and emotions. In fact, advanced emotion knowledge in this cultural context may suggest an excessive focus on inner psychological

states, which makes one at odds with cultural norms and expectations and may further result in social adjustment problems.

We demonstrated this in two longitudinal studies of European American and Chinese immigrant children in the United States. In one study, we assessed children's emotion knowledge at 3.5 years of age, using a task to elicit their understanding of situational antecedents of discrete emotions. Children's mothers reported on the children's internalizing problems (including anxiety, depression, and somatization) using the Behavior Assessment System for Children when their children were 7 years of age. After taking into account all group and individual variables (e.g., gender, verbal skills), we found that European American children who possessed advanced emotion knowledge at the preschool age tend to have fewer internalizing problems 3 years later. However, advanced emotion knowledge at preschool age was associated with increased internalizing problems later for Chinese immigrant children.

This suggests that emotional intelligence is construed differently and therefore serves varied functions in different cultures. Cultural psychology research plays a critical role in not only the confirmation but also — equally if not more importantly — the modification and enrichment of existing theories.

In an even more exciting situation, cultural psychology allows us to uncover mechanisms that are unique to non-Western populations — mechanisms that would be difficult, if not impossible, to detect in research with WEIRD samples. For example, studies involving middle-class Western families reveal that children's references to mental states, through discussions with parents and their own independent narratives, demonstrate an advanced theory of mind. Yet we have observed in our own research samples that Chinese and Chinese American parents and children do not frequently talk about mental states and instead talk more about other people's behaviors, actions, and roles. Given the importance of significant others and social relations in defining personhood in Chinese culture, would such talk about others — not necessarily regarding their mental states but simply referring to them — constitute a unique pathway for Chinese children's development of theory of mind? If so, this practice would seem to be an adaptive strategy that facilitates children's sociocognitive skills on the one hand and conforms to cultural expectations for reticence about subjective states on the other.

I was part of a research team that set out to test this hypothesis. In one experiment, we used a training paradigm directly to examine whether attending to others facilitates the development of theory of mind in Chinese children. Across four sessions within a 2-week period, researchers read preschool children a story and then asked them questions about it. Children in the experimental group were asked questions that directed their attention to the characters and their actions. Children in the control group were asked about the physical features of the story, such as the setting and the colors of the objects in that setting. Children's theory of mind was tested before and after the sessions with a battery of false-belief and deception tasks. We found that after merely 2 weeks' training, children in the experimental group performed substantially better in the posttest than in the pretest, whereas children in the control group showed no improvement in their theory-of-mind performance.

Training Chinese children to attend to story characters' roles and behaviors thus facilitated the children's theory of mind. This training procedure closely resembles the children's everyday experience in a cultural context that emphasizes attending to others while devaluing explicit talk about inner thoughts and desires.

Had we mindlessly committed ourselves to the common wisdom that talking about the mind facilitates the understanding of mind; had we not wondered how Chinese children develop theory of mind given their limited exposure to explicit discussion about internal states; had we not considered the cultural conditions that shape the form, content, and function of communication; and had we not worked with Chinese children and families in the first place, we would not have found that talking about others constitutes a mechanism for the development of theory of mind.

Integrating Cultural Psychology in Research

In many ways, cultural psychology functions as a mirror that compels psychologists to reflect on their work and critically evaluate their theories and findings, to go beyond the surface and convenience to question what truly matters, and to embrace the complexity of human experiences with open minds and open hearts.

Yet simply acknowledging the importance of culture and cultural psychology is not enough; neither is it enough to simply acknowledge the limitations of one's findings due to a focus on WEIRD samples. In our increasingly multicultural world, it is a pressing, necessary, and pragmatic task for us all actively to incorporate cultural psychology into our research programs.

When we set aside any presumptions, we can better see that a cultural psychological perspective helps us recognize, reduce, and eliminate biases, uncover new mechanisms and develop new theories, and understand human cognition and behavior as a constructive process that takes place in the interaction between a person and her or his environment. And when we set aside any presumptions, we can strategically evaluate and plan the integration of cultural factors into our research programs. We all should and can be cultural scientists. α

References and Further Reading

Addis, D. R., Wong, A. T., & Schacter, D. L. (2008). Age-related changes in the episodic simulation of future events. *Psychological Science*, 19, 33–41. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9280.2008.02043.x

Apfelbaum, E. P., Phillips, K. W., & Richeson, J. A. (2014). Rethinking the baseline in diversity research: Should we be explaining the effects of homogeneity? *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 9, 235–244. doi:10.1177/1745691614527466

Atance, C. M. (2008). Future thinking in young children. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 17, 295–298. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8721.2008.00593.x

Chao, R. K. (1995). Chinese and European American cultural models of the self reflected in mothers' childrearing beliefs. *Ethos*, 23, 328–354.

Chen, X., Liu, J., Ellis, W., & Zarbatany, L. (2016). Social sensitivity and adjustment in Chinese and Canadian children. *Child Development*, 87, 1115–1129. doi:10.1111/cdev.12514

Conway, M. A., & Pleydell-Pearce, C. W. (2000). The construction of autobiographical memories in the self-memory system. *Psychological Review*, 107, 261–288.

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The “what” and “why” of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11, 227–268.

Denham, S. A., Blair, K. A., DeMulder, E., Levitas, J., Sawyer, K., Auerbach-Major, S., & Queenan, P. (2003). Preschool emotional competence: Pathway to social competence? *Child Development*, 74, 238–256. doi:10.1111/1467-8624.00533

Doan, S. N., & Wang, Q. (2010). Maternal discussions of mental states and behaviors: Relations to emotion situation knowledge in European American and immigrant Chinese children. *Child Development*, 81, 1490–1503. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8624.2010.01487.x

Doan, S. N., & Wang, Q. (under review). Children’s emotion knowledge and adjustment: The moderating role of culture.

Fine, S. E., Izard, C. E., Mostow, A. J., Trentacosta, C. J., & Ackerman, B. P. (2003). First grade emotion knowledge as a predictor of fifth grade self-reported internalizing behaviors in children from economically disadvantaged families. *Development and Psychopathology*, 15, 331–342. doi:10.1017/S095457940300018X

Frijda, N. H. (1986). *The emotions: Studies in emotion & social interaction*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.

Gelfand, M. J., & Diener, E. (Eds.). (2010). Culture and psychological science [Special section]. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 5, 390–493.

Gottman, J. (1998). *Raising an emotionally intelligent child: The heart of parenting*. New York, NY: Fireside.

Greenfield, P. M. (2013). The changing psychology of culture from 1800 through 2000. *Psychological Science*, 24, 1722–1731. doi:10.1177/0956797613479387

Halberstadt, A. G., & Lozada, F. L. (2011). Emotion development in infancy through the lens of culture. *Emotion Review*, 3, 158–168. doi:10.1177/1754073910387946

Han, J. J., Leichtman, M. D., & Wang, Q. (1998). Autobiographical memory in Korean, Chinese, and American children. *Developmental Psychology*, 34, 701–713. doi:10.1037/0012-1649.34.4.701

Hard, B. M., Tversky, B., & Lang, D. S. (2006). Making sense of abstract events: Building event schemas. *Memory & Cognition*, 34, 1221–1235.

Hedden, T., Ketay, S., Aron, A., Markus, H. R., & Gabrieli, J. D. E. (2008). Cultural influences on neural substrates of attentional control. *Psychological Science*, 19, 12–17. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9280.2008.02038.x

- Heine, S. J. (2016). *Cultural psychology* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: W.W. Norton.
- Henrich, J., Heine, S. J., & Norenzayan, A. (2010). The weirdest people in the world? *Behavioral & Brain Sciences*, 33, 61–83. doi:10.1017/S0140525X0999152X
- Hudson, J. A. (2006). The development of future time concepts through mother-child conversation. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly*, 52, 70–95. doi:10.1353/mpq.2006.0005
- Kelly, D. J., Liu, S., Rodger, H., Miellet, S., Ge, L., & Caldara, R. (2011). Developing cultural differences in face processing. *Developmental Science*, 14, 1176–1184. doi:10.1111/j.1467-7687.2011.01067.x
- Kim, H. S., & Sasaki, J. Y. (2012). Emotion regulation: The interplay of culture and genes. *Social & Personality Psychology Compass*, 6, 865–877. doi:10.1111/spc3.12003
- Kitayama, S., & Cohen, D. (Eds.). (2007). *Handbook of cultural psychology*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Kitayama, S., Duffy, S., Kawamura, T., & Larsen, J. T. (2003). Perceiving an object and its context in different cultures: A cultural look at new look. *Psychological Science*, 14, 201–206.
- Kitayama, S., King, A., Yoon, C., Tompson, S., Huff, S., & Liberzon, I. (2014). The dopamine D4 receptor gene (DRD4) moderates cultural difference in independent versus interdependent social orientation. *Psychological Science*, 25, 1169–1177.
- Kitayama, S., & Murata, A. (2013). Culture modulates perceptual attention: An event-related potential study. *Social Cognition*, 31, 758–769. doi:10.1521/soco.2013.31.6.758
- Kurby, C. A., & Zacks, J. M. (2008). Segmentation in the perception and memory of events. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 12, 72–79.
- Lalonde, R. N., Cila, J., Lou, E., & Cribbie, R. A. (2015). Are we really that different from each other? The difficulties of focusing on similarities in cross-cultural research. *Peace and Conflict: Journal of Peace Psychology*, 21, 525–534. doi:10.1037/pac0000134
- Levine, B., Svoboda, E., Hay, J. F., Winocur, G., & Moscovitch, M. (2002). Aging and autobiographical memory: Dissociating episodic from semantic retrieval. *Psychology and Aging*, 17, 677–689. doi:10.1037/0882-7974.17.4.677
- Levitan, C. A., Ren, J., Woods, A. T., Boesveldt, S., Chan, J. S., McKenzie, K. J., ... van den Bosch, J. J. F. (2014). Cross-cultural color-odor associations. *PLoS ONE*, 9(7), E101651. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101651
- Lu, H., Su, Y., & Wang, Q. (2008). Talking about others facilitates theory of mind in Chinese preschoolers. *Developmental Psychology*, 44, 1726–1736. doi:10.1037/a0013074

- Luo, S., Ma, Y., Liu, Y., Li, B., Wang, C., Shi, Z., ... Han, S. (2015). Interaction between oxytocin receptor polymorphism and interdependent culture values on human empathy. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 10, 1273–1281. doi:10.1093/scan/nsv019
- Mao, J., & Shen, Y. (2015). Cultural identity change in expatriates: A social network perspective. *Human Relations*, 68, 1533–1556. doi:10.1177/0018726714561699
- Markus, H. R., & Kitayama, S. (1991). Culture and the self: Implications for cognition, emotion, and motivation. *Psychological Review*, 98, 224–253. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.98.2.224
- Mascolo, M. E., & Li, J. (Eds.). (2004). *Culture and developing selves: Beyond dichotomization*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- McCauley, R. N., & Henrich, J. (2006). Susceptibility to the Müller-Lyer illusion, theory-neutral observation, and the diachronic penetrability of the visual input system. *Philosophical Psychology*, 19, 79–101. doi:10.1080/09515080500462347
- Miyamoto, Y., Nisbett, R. E., & Masuda, T. (2006). Culture and the physical environment: Holistic versus analytic perceptual affordances. *Psychological Science*, 17, 113–119. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9280.2006.01673.x
- Moskowitz, H. W., Kumaraiah, V., Sharma, K. N., Jacobs, H. L., & Sharma, S. D. (1975). Cross-cultural differences in simple taste preferences. *Science*, 190, 1217–1218.
- Nelson, K., & Fivush, R. (2004). The emergence of autobiographical memory: A social cultural developmental theory. *Psychological Review*, 111, 486–511. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.111.2.486
- Nisbett, R. E., & Miyamoto, Y. (2005). The influence of culture: Holistic versus analytic perception. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 9, 467–473. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2005.08.004
- Nisbett, R. E., Peng, K., Choi, I., & Norenzayan, A. (2001). Culture and systems of thought: Holistic versus analytic cognition. *Psychological Review*, 108, 291–310. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.108.2.291
- Park, D. C., & Huang, C.-M. (2010). Culture wires the brain: A cognitive neuroscience perspective. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 5, 391–400. doi:10.1177/1745691610374591
- Peterson, C., Wang, Q., & Hou, Y. (2009). “When I was little”: Childhood recollections in Chinese and European Canadian grade-school children. *Child Development*, 80, 506–518. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8624.2009.01275.x
- Qiu, L., Lin, H., & Leung, A. K. (2013). Cultural differences and switching of in-group sharing behavior between an American (Facebook) and a Chinese (Renren) social networking site. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 44, 106–121. doi:10.1177/0022022111434597
- Reynolds, C. R., & Kamphaus, R. W. (2002). *The clinician’s guide to the Behavior Assessment System for Children (BASC)*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.

Roberson, D., Davidoff, J., Davies, I. R. L., & Shapiro, L. R. (2005). Color categories: Evidence for the cultural relativity hypothesis. *Cognitive Psychology*, 50, 378–441. doi:10.1016/j.cogpsych.2004.10.001

Ruffman, T., Slade, L., & Crowe, E. (2002). The relation between children's and mothers' mental state language and theory-of-mind understanding. *Child Development*, 73, 734–751.
DOI:10.1111/1467-8624.00435

Shweder, R. A., Goodnow, J., Hatano, G., LeVine, R. A., Markus, H., & Miller, P. (1998). The cultural psychology of development: One mind, many mentalities. In W. Damon (Series Ed.) & R. M. Lerner (Vol. Ed.), *Handbook of Child Psychology: Vol. 1. Theoretical models of human development* (5th ed., pp. 865–937). New York, NY: Wiley & Sons.

Sternberg, R. J. (2014). The development of adaptive competence: Why cultural psychology is necessary and not just nice. *Developmental Review*, 34, 208–224. doi:10.1016/j.dr.2014.05.004

Suddendorf, T. (2010). Linking yesterday and tomorrow: Preschoolers' ability to report temporally displaced events. *British Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 28, 491–498.
doi:10.1348/026151009X479169

Swallow, K. M., Barch, D. M., Head, D., Maley, C. J., Holder, D., & Zacks, J. M. (2011). Changes in events alter how people remember recent information. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 23, 1052–1064. doi:10.1162/jocn.2010.21524

Syed, M., & Azmitia, M. (2010). Narrative and ethnic identity exploration: A longitudinal account of emerging adults' ethnicity-related experiences. *Developmental Psychology*, 46, 208–219.
doi:10.1037/a0017825

Symons, D. K. (2004). Mental state discourse, theory of mind, and the internalization of self-other understanding. *Developmental Review*, 24, 159–188. doi:10.1016/j.dr.2004.03.001

Symons, D. K., Fossum, K.-L. M., & Collins, T. B. K. (2006). A longitudinal study of belief and desire state discourse during mother-child play and later false belief understanding. *Social Development*, 15, 676–692. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9507.2006.00364.x

Taylor, C., Clifford, A., & Franklin, A. (2013). Color preferences are not universal. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 142, 1015–1027. doi:10.1037/a0030273

Trentacosta, C. J., & Fine, S. E. (2010). Emotion knowledge, social competence, and behavior problems in childhood and adolescence: A meta-analytic review. *Social Development*, 19, 1–29.
doi:10.1111/j.1467-9507.2009.00543.x

Trzesniewski, K. H., & Donnellan, M. B. (2010). Rethinking 'Generation Me': A study of cohort effects from 1976-2006. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 5, 58–75.
doi:10.1177/1745691609356789

Wang, Q. (2001a). Cultural effects on adults' earliest childhood recollection and self-description:

Implications for the relation between memory and the self. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 81, 220–233. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.81.2.220

Wang, Q. (2001b). ‘Did you have fun?’ American and Chinese mother-child conversations about shared emotional experiences. *Cognitive Development*, 16, 693–715. doi:10.1016/S0885-2014(01)00055-7

Wang, Q. (2003). Emotion situation knowledge in American and Chinese preschool children and adults. *Cognition & Emotion*, 17, 725–746. doi:10.1080/02699930302285

Wang, Q. (2004). The emergence of cultural self-constructs: Autobiographical memory and self-description in European American and Chinese children. *Developmental Psychology*, 40, 3–15. doi:10.1037/0012-1649.40.1.3

Wang, Q. (2006a). Earliest recollections of self and others in European American and Taiwanese young adults. *Psychological Science*, 17, 708–714. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8721.2006.00432.x

Wang, Q. (2006b). Developing emotion knowledge in cultural contexts. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 30(Suppl. 1), 8–12.

Wang, Q. (2006c). Relations of maternal style and child self-concept to autobiographical memories in Chinese, Chinese immigrant, and European American 3-year-olds. *Child Development*, 77, 1794–1809. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8624.2006.00974.x

Wang, Q. (2007). “Remember when you got the big, big bulldozer?” Mother-child reminiscing over time and across cultures. *Social Cognition*, 25, 455–471. doi:10.1521/soco.2007.25.4.455

Wang, Q. (2008a). Being American, being Asian: The bicultural self and autobiographical memory in Asian Americans. *Cognition*, 107, 743–751. doi:10.1016/j.cognition.2007.08.005

Wang, Q. (2008b). Emotion knowledge and autobiographical memory across the preschool years: A cross-cultural longitudinal investigation. *Cognition*, 108, 117–135. doi:10.1016/j.cognition.2008.02.002

Wang, Q. (2009a). Are Asians forgetful? Perception, retention, and recall in episodic remembering. *Cognition*, 111, 123–131. doi:10.1016/j.cognition.2009.01.004

Wang, Q. (2009b). Once upon a time: Explaining cultural differences in episodic specificity. *Social & Personality Psychology Compass*, 3, 413–432. doi:10.1111/j.1751-9004.2009.00182.x

Wang, Q. (2013). *The autobiographical self in time and culture*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Wang, Q., Capous, D., Koh, J. B. K., & Hou, Y. (2014). Past and future episodic thinking in middle childhood. *Journal of Cognition and Development*, 15, 625–643. doi:10.1080/15248372.2013.784977

Wang, Q., & Conway, M. A. (2004). The stories we keep: Autobiographical memory in American and Chinese middle-aged adults. *Journal of Personality*, 72, 911–938.

doi:10.1111/j.0022-3506.2004.00285.x

Wang, Q., & Conway, M. A. (2006). Autobiographical memory, self, and culture. In L.-G. Nilsson & N. Ohta (Eds.), *Memory and society: Psychological perspectives* (pp. 9–27). New York, NY: Psychology Press.

Wang, Q., & Fivush, R. (2005). Mother-child conversations of emotionally salient events: Exploring the functions of emotional reminiscing in European American and Chinese Families. *Social Development*, 14, 473–495. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9507.2005.00312.x

Wang, Q., Hou, Y., Tang, H., & Wiprovnick, A. (2011). Traveling backward and forward in time: Culture and gender in the episodic specificity of past and future events. *Memory*, 19, 103–109. doi:10.1080/09658211.2010.537279

Wang, Q., Hutt, R., Kulkofsky, S., McDermott, M., & Wei, R. (2006). Emotion situation knowledge and autobiographical memory in Chinese, immigrant Chinese, and European American 3-year-olds. *Journal of Cognition and Development*, 7, 95–118. doi:10.1207/s15327647jcd0701_5

Wang, Q., Leichtman, M. D., & Davies, K. I. (2000). Sharing memories and telling stories: American and Chinese mothers and their 3-year-olds. *Memory*, 8, 159–177. doi:10.1080/096582100387588

Wang, Q., & Ross, M. (2005). What we remember and what we tell: The effects of culture and self-priming on memory representations and narratives. *Memory*, 13, 594–606. doi:10.1080/09658210444000223

Wang, Q., Shao, Y., & Li, Y. J. (2010). “My way or mom’s way?” The bilingual and bicultural self in Hong Kong Chinese children and adolescents. *Child Development*, 81, 555–567. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8624.2009.01415.x

Yang, Y., & Wang, Q. (2015). The relation of emotion knowledge to coping in European American and Chinese immigrant children. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 25, 452–463.

Yeshurun, Y., & Sobel, N. (2010). An odor is not worth a thousand words: From multidimensional odors to unidimensional odor objects. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 61, 219–241. doi:10.1146/annurev.psych.60.110707.163639

Zacks, J. M., Speer, N. K., Vettel, J. M., & Jacoby, L. L. (2006). Event understanding and memory in healthy aging and dementia of the Alzheimer type. *Psychology and Aging*, 21, 466–482. doi:10.1037/0882-7974.21.3.466

Zacks, J. M., & Swallow, K. M. (2007). Event segmentation. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 16, 80–84.